**From:** ecfMOW.notification@mow.uscourts.gov **To:** cmecf\_atynotifications@mow.uscourts.gov

Subject: Activity in Case 4:17-cv-00731-NKL Simpson v. Magnum Piering Inc. et al Telephone

Conference

Date: September 24, 2018 at 3:07:17 PM CDT

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.

\*\*\*NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS\*\*\* Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

#### **U.S. District Court**

#### Western District of Missouri

# **Notice of Electronic Filing**

The following transaction was entered on 9/24/2018 at 3:07 PM CDT and filed on 9/24/2018

Case Name: Simpson v. Magnum Piering Inc. et al

**Case Number:** 4:17-cv-00731-NKL

Filer:

**Document Number:** 72(No document attached)

### **Docket Text:**

MINUTE ENTRY. Teleconference held before the Hon. Nanette K. Laughrey on September 24, 2018, regarding the design specifications and drawings of the Ram Shoe that were previously requested by Plaintiff and were not produced to Plaintiff until the morning of the deposition of Plaintiff's expert on September 7, 2018. Additionally, only page 2 of the document was produced. Ms. Cannezzaro stated that it was a software glitch that created a blank page as page 1, and that Defendant had just found the document that morning because it was held by a different part of the company. Statements presented by the parties. Plaintiff requested the Court prohibit the defendant from using any of the documents at trial and prohibit defendant's experts from using the documents. The Court will

permit the Plaintiff to have their expert revise their report with the new information. Defendant shall pay all fees associated with the expert's report, both previously incurred and going forward. If the parties can not work out an agreement on the costs prior to the close of discovery, Plaintiff will ask the Court to resolve any dispute about economic sanctions. The Court recommended that Defendant conduct a thorough search of their records, at defendant's costs, and the Court expects that every document the Defendant has will be produced that has been requested by Plaintiff. If needed, the Court will appoint a Court expert to assist in this process, at Defendant's expense. Appearances: Plaintiff by William Kenney. Defendant by Karly Weigel and Nikki Cannezzaro. Courtroom Deputy: Renea Matthes Mitra. Court Reporter: None. (Text entry only.) (Matthes Mitra, Renea)

## 4:17-cv-00731-NKL Notice has been electronically mailed to:

John G. Schultz jschultz@fsmlawfirm.com, jerskine@fsmlawfirm.com

Aristotle N. Rodopoulos ari@woodlaw.com, tammy@woodlaw.com

Brad K. Thoenen bthoenen@sb-kc.com, jschmeltz@sb-kc.com, mfriend@sb-kc.com

William Charles Kenney bkenney@billkenneylaw.com, kbohlken@billkenneylaw.com

Karly Dorann Weigel kweigel@fsmlawfirm.com, jrusk@fsmlawfirm.com, mmccullough@fsmlawfirm.com

4:17-cv-00731-NKL It is the filer's responsibility for noticing the following parties by other means: